arXiv:1607.02307v1 [math.FA] 8 Jul 2016

FIBONACCI NUMBERS, STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE AND APPLICATIONS

MURAT KIRIŞCI*, ALI KARAISA

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is twofold. First, the definition of new statistical convergence with Fibonacci sequence is given and some fundamental properties of statistical convergence are examined. Second, approximation theory worked as a application of the statistical convergence.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Densities and Statistical Convergence.** In the theory of numbers, there are many different definitions of density. It is well known that the most popular of these definitions is asymptotic density. But, asymptotic density does not exist for all sequences. New densities have been defined to fill those gaps and to serve different purposes.

The asymptotic density is one of the possibilities to measure how large a subset of the set of natural number. We know intuitively that positive integers are much more than perfect squares. Because, every perfect square is positive and many other positive integers exist besides. However, the set of positive integers is not in fact larger than the set of perfect squares: both sets are infinite and countable and can therefore be put in one-to-one correspondence. Nevertheless if one goes through the natural numbers, the squares become increasingly scarce. It is precisely in this case, natural density help us and makes this intuition precise.

Let A is a subset of positive integer. We consider the interval $[1, n]$ and select an integer in this interval, randomly. Then, the ratio of the number of elements of A in $[1, n]$ to the total number of elements in $[1, n]$ is belong to A, probably. For $n \to \infty$, if this probability exists, that is this probability tends to some limit, then this limit is used to as the asymptotic density of the set A. This mentions us that the asymptotic density is a kind of probability of choosing a number from the set A.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B39, 41A10, 41A25, 41A36, 40A30, 40G15.

Key words and phrases. Korovkin type approximation theorems, statistical convergence, Fibonacci numbers, Fibonacci matrix, positive linear operator, density.

*Corresponding author.

Now, we give some definitions and properties of asymptotic density:

The set of positive integers will be denoted by $\mathbb{Z}^+$. Let A and B be subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^+$. If the symmetric difference $A\Delta B$ is finite, then, we can say A is asymptotically equal to B and denote $A \sim B$. Freedman and Sember have introduced the concept of a lower asymptotic density and defined a concept of convergence in density, in [16].

Definition 1.1. [16] *Let f be a function which defined for all sets of natural numbers and take values in the interval $[0,1]$. Then, the function f is said to a lower asymptotic density, if the following conditions hold:*

i. $f(A) = f(B)$, *if* $A \sim B$,
ii. $f(A) + f(B) \leq f(A \cup B)$, *if* $A \cap B = \emptyset$,
iii . $f(A) + f(B) \leq 1 + f(A \cap B)$, *for all* A,
iv. $f(\mathbb{Z}^+) = 1$.

We can define the upper density based on the definition of lower density as follows:

Let f be any density. Then, for any set of natural numbers A, the function $\overline{f}$ is said to upper density associated with f, if $\overline{f}(A) = 1 - f(\mathbb{Z}^+ \backslash A)$.

Consider the set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$. If $f(A) = \overline{f}(A)$, then we can say that the set A has natural density with respect to f. The term asymptotic density is often used for the function

$$d(A) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{A(n)}{n},$$

where $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $A(n) = \sum_{a\leq n, a\in A} 1$. Also the natural density of A is given by $d(A) = \lim_n n^{-1}|A(n)|$ where $|A(n)|$ denotes the number of elements in $A(n)$.

The study of statistical convergence was initiated by Fast[15]. Schoenberg [38] studied statistical convergence as a summability method and listed some of the elementary properties of statistical convergence. Both of these mathematicians mentioned that if a bounded sequence is statistically convergent to L then it is Cesàro summable to L. Statistical convergence also arises as an example of "convergence in density" as introduced by Buck[6]. In [41], Zygmund called this concept "almost convergence" and established relation between statistical convergence and strong summability. The idea of statistical convergence have been studied in different branches of mathematics such as number theory[14], trigonometric series[41], summability theory[16], measure theory[32], Hausdorff locally convex topological vector spaces[31]. The concept of $\alpha\beta-$statistical convergence was introduced and studied by Aktuğlu[1]. In [28], Karakaya and Karaisa have been extended the concept of $\alpha\beta-$statistical convergence. Also, they have been introduced

the concept of weighted $\alpha\beta-$statistical convergence of order γ, weighted $\alpha\beta-$summmability of order of γ and strongly weighted $\alpha\beta-$summable sequences of order γ, in [28].

Definition 1.2. *A real numbers sequence $x = (x_k)$ is statistically convergent to L provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$ has natural density zero. The set of all statistical convergent sequence is denoted by S. In this case, we write $S - \lim x = L$ or $x_k \to L(S)$.*

Definition 1.3. [17] *The sequence $x = (x_k)$ is statistically Cauchy sequence if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is positive integer $N = N(\varepsilon)$ such that*

$$d\left(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - x_{N(\varepsilon)}| \geq \varepsilon\}\right) = 0.$$

It can be seen from the definition that statistical convergence is a generalization of the usual of notion of convergence that parallels the usual theory of convergence.

Fridy[17] introduce the new notation for facilitate: If $x = (x_n)$ is a sequence that satisfies some property P for all n except a set of natural density zero, then we say that $x = (x_n)$ satisfies P for "almost all n" and we abbreviate "a.a.n". In [17], Fridy proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1.4. *The following statements are equivalent:*

i. *x is statistically convergent sequence,*
ii. *x is statistically Cauchy sequence,*
iii . *x is sequence for which there is a convergent sequence y such that $x_n = y_n$ for a.a.n.*

1.2. **Fibonacci Numbers and Fibonacci Matrix.** The number in the bottom row are called *Fibonacci numbers*, and the number sequence

$$1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144 \ldots$$

is the *Fibonacci sequence*[30]. One of the most known and interesting of number sequences is Fibonacci sequence and it still continues to be of interest to mathematicians. Because, this sequence is an important and useful tool to expand the mathematical horizon for many mathematician.

Definition 1.5. *The Fibonacci numbers are the sequence of numbers (f_n) for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ defined by the linear recurrence equation*

$$f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2} \quad n \geq 2,$$

From this definition, it means that the first two numbers in Fibonacci sequence are either 1 and 1 (or 0 and 1) depending on the chosen starting point of the sequence and all subsequent number is the sum of the previous

two. That is, we can choose $f_1 = f_2 = 1$ or $f_0 = 0$, $f_1 = 1$.

Fibonacci sequence was initiated in the book *Liber Abaci* of Fibonacci which was written in 1202. However, the sequence is based on older history. The sequence had been described earlier as Virahanka numbers in Indian mathematics [22]. In *Liber Abaci*, the sequence starts with 1, nowadays the sequence begins either with $f_0 = 0$ or with $f_1 = 1$.

Some of the fundamental properties of Fibonacci numbers are given as follows:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} = \alpha, \quad \text{(golden ratio)}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} f_k = f_{n+2} - 1 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$$\sum_{k} \frac{1}{f_k} \quad \text{converges},$$

$$f_{n-1}f_{n+1} - f_n^2 = (-1)^{n+1} \quad (n \geq 1) \quad \text{(Cassini formula)}$$

It can be yields $f_{n-1}^2 + f_n f_{n-1} - f_n^2 = (-1)^{n+1}$, if we can substituting for f_{n+1} in Cassini's formula.

Let f_n be the nth Fibonacci number for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we define the infinite matrix $\widehat{F} = (\widehat{f}_{nk})$ [24] by

$$\widehat{f}_{nk} = \begin{cases} -\frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} & , \quad (k = n-1) \\ \frac{f_n}{f_{n+1}} & , \quad (k = n) \\ 0 & , \quad (0 \leq k < n-1 \text{or} k > n). \end{cases}$$

The Fibonacci Sequence was firstly used in the Theory of Sequence Spaces by Kara and Başarır[23]. Afterward, Kara[24] defined the Fibonacci difference matrix $\widehat{F}$ by using the Fibonacci sequence (f_n) for $n \in \{0, 1, \ldots\}$ and introduced the new sequence spaces related to the matrix domain of $\widehat{F}$.

Following the [23] and [24], high qualified papers are produced with the Fibonacci matrix by many mathematicians.([2], [3], [7], [10], [8], [9], [11], [25], [26], [27], [39]).

1.3. **Approximation Theory.** Korovkin type approximation theorems are practical tools to check whether a given sequence $(A_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of positive linear operators on $C[a, b]$ of all continuous functions on the real interval $[a, b]$ is an approximation process. That is, these theorems present a variety of test functions which provide that the approximation property holds on the whole space if it holds for them. Such a property was determined by Korovkin [29] in 1953 for the functions 1, x and x^2 in the space $C[a, b]$ as well as for the

functions 1, cos and sin in the space of all continuous $2\pi-$periodic functions on the real line.

Until Gadjiev and Orhan [21] examine, there aren't any study related to statistical convergence and approximation theory. In [21], it is proved a Korovkin type approximation theorems by using the idea of statistical convergence. Some of examples of approximation theory and statistical convergence studies can be seen in [1], [4], [12], [13], [28], [33], [34], [35], [36]. Some of examples of approximation theory and statistical convergence studies can be seen in [1], [4], [12], [13], [28], [33], [34], [35], [36].

2. Fibonacci Type Statistical Convergence

Now, we give the general Fibonacci sequence space $X(\widehat{F})$ as follows[24], [23]: Let X be any sequence space and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$X(\widehat{F}) = \left\{x = (x_k) \in \omega : \left(\widehat{F}x_k\right) \in X\right\}$$

It is clear that if X is a linear space, then, $X(\widehat{F})$ is also a linear space. Kara proved that if X is a Banach space, then, $X(\widehat{F})$ is also a Banach space with the norm

$$\|x\|_{X(\widehat{F})} = \|\widehat{F}x\|_X.$$

Now, we will give lemma which used in proof of Theorem 2.2. Proof of this lemma is trivial.

Lemma 2.1. *If $X \subset Y$, then $X(\widehat{F}) \subset Y(\widehat{F})$*

Theorem 2.2. *Consider that X is a Banach space and A is a closed subset of X. Then, $A(\widehat{F})$ is also closed in $X(\widehat{F})$.*

Proof. Since A is a closed subset of X, from Lemma 2.1, then we can write $A(\widehat{F}) \subset X(\widehat{F})$. $\overline{A(\widehat{F})}$, $\overline{A}$ denote the closure of $A(\widehat{F})$ and A, respectively. To prove the Theorem, we must show that $\overline{A(\widehat{F})} = \overline{A}(\widehat{F})$.

Firstly, we take $x \in \overline{A(\widehat{F})}$. Therefore, from 1.4.6 Theorem of [40], there exists a sequence $(x^n) \in A(\widehat{F})$ such that $\|x^n - x\|_{\widehat{F}} \to 0$ in $A(\widehat{F})$, for $n \to \infty$. Thus, $\|(x_k^n) - (x_k)\|_{\widehat{F}} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ in $x \in A(\widehat{F})$ so hat

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |x_i^n - x_i| + \left\|\widehat{F}(x_k^n) - \widehat{F}(x_k)\right\| \to 0$$

for $n \to \infty$, in A. Therefore, $\widehat{F}x \in \overline{A}$ and so $x \in \overline{A}(\widehat{F})$.

Conversely, if we take $x \in \overline{A(\widehat{F})}$, then, $x \in A(\widehat{F})$. We know that A is closed. Then $\overline{A(\widehat{F})} = \overline{A}(\widehat{F})$. Hence, $A(\widehat{F})$ is a closed subset of $X(\widehat{F})$. □

From this theorem, we can give the following corollary:

Corollary 2.3. *If X is a separable space, then, $X(\widehat{F})$ is also a separable space.*

Definition 2.4. *A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be Fibonacci statistically convergence(or $\widehat{F}-$statistically convergence) if there is a number L such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ the set $K_\epsilon(\widehat{F}) := \{k \leq n : |\widehat{F}x_k - L| \geq \epsilon\}$ has natural density zero, i.e., $d(K_\epsilon(\widehat{F})) = 0$. That is*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left|\{k \leq n : |\widehat{F}x_k - L| \geq \epsilon\}\right| = 0.$$

In this case we write $d(\widehat{F}) - \lim x_k = L$ or $x_k \to L(S(\widehat{F}))$. The set of $\widehat{F}-$statistically convergent sequences will be denoted by $S(\widehat{F})$. In the case $L = 0$, we will write $S_0(\widehat{F})$.

Definition 2.5. *Let $x = (x_k) \in \omega$. The sequence x is said to be $\widehat{F}-$statistically Cauchy if there exists a number $N = N(\varepsilon)$ such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left|\{k \leq n : |\widehat{F}x_k - \widehat{F}x_N| \geq \epsilon\}\right| = 0$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

Theorem 2.6. *If x is a $\widehat{F}-$statistically convergent sequence, then x is a $\widehat{F}-$statistically Cauchy sequence.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Assume that $x_k \to L(S(\widehat{F}))$. Then, $|\widehat{F}x_k - L| < \varepsilon/2$ for almost all k. If N is chosen so that $|\widehat{F}x_N - L| < \varepsilon/2$, then we have $|\widehat{F}x_k - \widehat{F}x_N| < |\widehat{F}x_k - L| + |\widehat{F}x_N - L| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$ for almost all k. It means that x is $\widehat{F}-$statistically Cauchy sequence. □

Theorem 2.7. *If x is a sequence for which there is a $\widehat{F}-$statistically convergent sequence y such that $\widehat{F}x_k = \widehat{F}y_k$ for almost all k, then x is $\widehat{F}-$statistically convergent sequence.*

Proof. Suppose that $\widehat{F}x_k = \widehat{F}y_k$ for almost all k and $y_k \to L(S(\widehat{F}))$. Then, $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each n, $\{k \leq n : |\widehat{F}x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \subseteq \{k \leq n : \widehat{F}x_k \neq \widehat{F}y_k\} \cup \{k \leq n : |\widehat{F}x_k - L| \leq \varepsilon\}$. Since $y_k \to L(S(\widehat{F}))$, the latter set contains a fixed number of integers, say $g = g(\varepsilon)$. Therefore, for $\widehat{F}x_k = \widehat{F}y_k$ for almost all k,

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \left|\{k \leq n : |\widehat{F}x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}\right| \leq \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \left|\{k \leq n : \widehat{F}x_k \neq \widehat{F}y_k\}\right| + \lim_n \frac{g}{n} = 0.$$

Hence $x_k \to L(S(\widehat{F}))$. □

Definition 2.8. [18] *A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be statistically bounded if there exists some $L \geq 0$ such that*

$$d\left(\{k : |x_k| > L\}\right) = 0, \quad i.e., \quad |x_k| \leq L \quad a.a.k$$

By m_0, we will denote the linear space of all statistically bounded sequences. Bounded sequences are obviously statistically bounded as the empty set has zero natural density. However, the converse is not true. For example, we consider the sequence

$$x_n = \begin{cases} n & , \quad \text{(k is a square)}, \\ 0 & , \quad \text{(k is not a square)}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly (x_k) is not a bounded sequence. However, $d(\{k : |x_k| > 1/2\}) = 0$, as the of squares has zero natural density and hence (x_k) is statistically bounded[5].

Proposition 2.9. [5] *Every convergent sequence is statistically bounded.*

Although a statistically convergent sequence does not need to be bounded(cf. [5],[19]), the following proposition shows that every statistical convergent sequence is statistically bounded.

Proposition 2.10. [5] *Every statistical convergent sequence is statistically bounded.*

Now, using the Propositions 2.9, 2.10, we can give the following corollary:

Corollary 2.11. *Every $\widehat{F}-$statistical convergent sequence is $\widehat{F}-$statistically bounded.*

Denote the set of all $\widehat{F}-$bounded sequences of real numbers by $m(\widehat{F})$ [24]. Based on the Definition 2.8 and the descriptions of m_0 and $m(\widehat{F})$, we can denote the set of all $\widehat{F}-$bounded statistically convergent sequences of real numbers by $m_0(\widehat{F})$.

Following theorem can be proved from Theorem 2.1 of [37] and Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.12. *The set of $m_0(\widehat{F})$ is a closed linear space of the linear normed space $m(\widehat{F})$.*

Theorem 2.13. *The set of $m_0(\widehat{F})$ is a nowhere dense set in $m(\widehat{F})$.*

Proof. According to [37] that every closed linear subspace of an arbitrary linear normed space E, different from E is a nowhere dense set in E. Hence, on account of Theorem 2.12, it suffices to prove that $m_0(\widehat{F}) \neq m(\widehat{F})$. But this is evident, consider the sequence

$$x_n = \begin{cases} 1 & , \quad \text{(n is odd)}, \\ 0 & , \quad \text{(n is even)}. \end{cases}$$

Then, $x \in m(\widehat{F})$, but does not belong to $m_0(\widehat{F})$. □

ω denotes the Fréchet metric space of all real sequences with the metric d_ω,

$$d_\omega = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{|x_k - y_k|}{1 + |x_k - y_k|}$$

where $x = (x_k), y = (y_k) \in \omega$ for all $k = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Theorem 2.14. *The set of $\widehat{F}-$statistically convergent sequences is dense in the space ω.*

Proof. If $x = (x_k) \in S(\widehat{F})$ (for all k) and the sequence $y = (y_k)$ (for all k) of real numbers differs from x only in a finite number of terms, then evidently $y \in S(\widehat{F})$, too. From this statement follows at once on the basis of the definition of the metric in ω. □

Theorem 2.15. *The following statements are hold.*

i. *The inclusion $c(\widehat{F}) \subset S(\widehat{F})$ is strict.*
ii. *$S(\widehat{F})$ and $\ell_\infty(\widehat{F})$, overlap but neither one contains the other.*
iii . *$S(\widehat{F})$ and ℓ_∞, overlap but neither one contains the other.*
iv. *S and $S(\widehat{F})$, overlap but neither one contains the other.*
v. *S and $c(\widehat{F})$, overlap but neither one contains the other.*
vi. *S and $c_0(\widehat{F})$, overlap but neither one contains the other.*
vii. *S and $\ell_\infty(\widehat{F})$, overlap but neither one contains the other.*

Proof. i) Since $c \subset S$, then $c(\widehat{F}) \subset S(\widehat{F})$.We choose

$$\widehat{F}x_n = (f_{n+1}^2) = (1, 2^2, 3^2, 5^2, \ldots), \tag{2.1}$$

Since $f_{n+1}^2 \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ and $\widehat{F}x = (1, 0, 0, \ldots)$, then, $\widehat{F}x \in S$, but is not in the space c, that is, $\widehat{F} \notin c$.

For the other items, firstly, using the inclusion relations in [24]. It is obtained that the inclusions $c \subset S(\widehat{F})$, $c \subset c(\widehat{F})$, $c \subset m(\widehat{F})$, $c \subset S$, $c \subset \ell_\infty$ and $c \cap c_0(\widehat{F}) \neq \phi$ are hold. Then, we seen that $S(\widehat{F})$ and $\ell_\infty(\widehat{F})$, $S(\widehat{F})$ and ℓ_∞, S and $S(\widehat{F})$, S and $c(\widehat{F})$, S and $c_0(\widehat{F})$, S and $\ell_\infty(\widehat{F})$ is overlap.

ii) We define $\widehat{F}x = \widehat{F}x_n$ from (2.1). Then, $\widehat{F}x \in S$, but $\widehat{F}x$ is not in ℓ_∞. Now we choose $u = (1, 0, 1, 0, \ldots)$. Then, $u \in \ell_\infty(\widehat{F})$ but $u \notin S(\widehat{F})$.

iii) The proof is the same as (ii).

iv) Define

$$x_n = \begin{cases} 1 & , \quad \text{(n is a square)}, \\ 0 & , \quad \text{(otherwise)}. \end{cases}$$

Then $x \in S$ but $x \in S(\widehat{F})$. Conversely, if we take $u = (n)$, then $u \notin S$ but $x \in S(\widehat{F})$.

(v), (vi) and (vii) are proven similar to (iv).

□

3. Applications

3.1. **Approximation by $\widehat{F}-$statistically convergence.** In this section, we get an analogue of classical Korovkin Theorem by using the concept $\widehat{F}-$statistically convergence.

Let $F(\mathbb{R})$ denote the linear space of real value function on $\mathbb{R}$. Let $C(\mathbb{R})$ be space of all real-valued continuous functions f on $\mathbb{R}$. It is well known that $C(\mathbb{R})$ is Banach space with the norm given as follows:

$$\| f \|_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)|, \ f \in C(\mathbb{R})$$

and we denote $C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$ the space of all $2\pi-$ periodic functions $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ which is Banach space with the norm given by

$$\| f \|_{2\pi} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |f(t)|, \ f \in C(\mathbb{R}).$$

We say A is positive operator, if for every non-negative f and $x \in I$, we have $A(f, x) \geq 0$, where I is any given interval on the real semi-axis. The first and second classical Korovkin approximation theorems states as follows (see [20], [29])

Theorem 3.1. *Let (A_n) be a sequence of positive linear operators from $C[0,1]$ in to $F[0,1]$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| A_n(f, x) - f(x) \|_{C[a,b]} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \| A_n(e_i, x) - e_i \|_{C[a,b]} = 0,$$

where $e_i = x^i$, $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (T_n) be sequence of positive linear operators from $C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$ into $F(\mathbb{R})$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| T_n(f, x) - f(x) \|_{2\pi} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \| T_n(f_i, x) - f_i \|_{2\pi} = 0, \ i = 0, 1, 2$$

where $f_0 = 1$, $f_1 = sinx$ and $f_2 = cosx$.

Our main Korovkin type theorem is given as follows:

Theorem 3.3. *Let (L_k) be a sequence of positive linear operator from $C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$ into $C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$. Then for all $f \in C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$*

$$d(\widehat{F}) - \lim_{k \to \infty} \| L_k(f, x) - f(x) \|_{2\pi} = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

if and only if

$$d(\widehat{F}) - \lim_{k\to\infty} \| L_k(1,x) - 1 \|_{2\pi} = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

$$d(\widehat{F}) - \lim_{k\to\infty} \| L_k(\sin t, x) - \sin x \|_{2\pi} = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

$$d(\widehat{F}) - \lim_{k\to\infty} \| L_k(\cos t, x) - \cos x \|_{2\pi} = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. As $1, \sin x, \cos x \in C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$, conditions (3.2)-(3.4) follow immediately from (3.1). Let the conditions (3.2)-(3.4) hold and $I_1 = (a, a+2\pi)$ be any subinterval of length 2π in $\mathbb{R}$. Let fixed $x \in I_1$. By the properties of function f , it follows that for given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(t)| < \varepsilon, \text{ whenever } \forall |t-x| < \delta. \tag{3.5}$$

If $|t-x| \geq \delta$, let us assume that $t \in (x+\delta, 2\pi + x + \delta)$. Then we obtain that

$$|f(x) - f(t)| \leq 2 \| f \|_{2\pi} \leq \frac{2 \| f \|_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} \psi(t), \tag{3.6}$$

where $\psi(t) = \sin^2\left(\frac{t-x}{2}\right)$.

By using (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$|f(x) - f(t)| < \varepsilon + \frac{2 \| f \|_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} \psi(t).$$

This implies that

$$-\varepsilon - \frac{2 \| f \|_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} \psi(t) < f(x) - f(t) < \varepsilon + \frac{2 \| f \|_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} \psi(t).$$

By using the positivity and linearity of $\{L_k\}$, we get

$$L_k(1,x)\left(-\varepsilon \frac{2 \| f \|_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} \psi(t)\right) < L_k(1,x)\left(f(x) - f(t)\right) < L_k(1,x)\left(\varepsilon + \frac{2 \| f \|_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} \psi(t)\right)$$

where x is fixed and so $f(x)$ is constant number. Therefore,

$$-\varepsilon L_k(1,x) - \frac{2 \| f \|_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} L_k(\psi(t), x) < L_k(f,x) - f(x) L_k(1,x) \tag{3.7}$$

$$< \varepsilon L_k(1,x) + \frac{2 \| f \|_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} L_k(\psi(t), x).$$

On the other hand, we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_k(f,x) - f(x) &= L_k(f,x) - f(x)L_k(1,x) + f(x)L_k(1,x) - f(x) \\ &= L_k(f,x) - f(x)L_k(1,x) - f(x)L_k + f(x)[L_k(1,x) - 1]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

By inequality (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain

$$L_k(f,x)-f(x) < \varepsilon L_k(1,x)+\frac{2\parallel f\parallel_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}L_k(\psi(t),x) \tag{3.9}$$
$$+f(x)+f(x)[L_k(1,x)-1].$$

Now, we compute second moment

$$\begin{aligned} L_k(\psi(t),x)=L_k\left(\sin^2\left(\frac{x-t}{2}\right),x\right) &= L_k\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-\cos t\cos x-\sin x\sin t),x\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{2}[L_k(1,x)-\cos xL_k(\cos t,x)-\sin xL_k(\sin t,x)]\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\{L_k(1,x)-\cos x[L_k(\cos t,x)-\cos x]\\ &- \sin x[L_k(\sin t,x)-\sin x]\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_k(f,x)-f(x) &< \varepsilon L_k(1,x)+\frac{2\parallel f\parallel_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}\frac{1}{2}\{L_k(1,x)\\ &\quad -\cos x[L_k(\cos t,x)-\cos x]-\sin x[L_k(\sin t,x)-\sin x]\}+f(x)(L_k(1,x)-1)\\ &= \varepsilon[L_k(1,x)-1]+\varepsilon+f(x)(L_k(1,x)-1)\\ &\quad +\frac{\parallel f\parallel_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}\{L_k(1,x)-\cos x[L_k(\cos t,x)-\cos x]\\ &- \sin x[L_k(\sin t,x)-\sin x]\}. \end{aligned}$$

So, from above inequality, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} |L_k(f,x)-f(x)| &\leq \varepsilon+\left(\varepsilon+|f(x)|+\frac{\parallel f\parallel_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}\right)|L_k(1,x)-1|\\ &\quad +\frac{\parallel f\parallel_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}[|\cos x||L_k(\cos t,x)-\cos x|\\ &\quad +|\sin x|L_k(\sin t,x)-\sin x|]\leq \varepsilon+\left(\varepsilon+|f(x)|+\frac{\parallel f\parallel_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}\right)|L_k(1,x)-1|\\ &\quad +\frac{\parallel f\parallel_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}[|L_k(\cos t,x)-\cos x|+|\sin x|L_k(\sin t,x)-\sin x|]. \end{aligned}$$

Because of ε is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \parallel L_k(f,x)-f(x)\parallel_{2\pi} &\leq \varepsilon+R\Big(\parallel L_k(1,x)-1\parallel_{2\pi}+\parallel L_k(\cos t,x)-\cos t\parallel_{2\pi}\\ &\quad +\parallel L_k(\sin t,x)-\sin x\parallel_{2\pi}\Big) \end{aligned}$$

where $R = \max\left(\varepsilon + \| f \|_{2\pi} + \frac{\|f\|_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}, \frac{\|f\|_{2\pi}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}\right)$.
Finally, replacing $L_k(.,x)$ by $T_k(.,x) = \widehat{F}L_k(.,x)$ and for $\varepsilon^{'} > 0$, we can write

$$\mathcal{A} : = \left\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \| T_k(1,x) - 1 \|_{2\pi} + \| T_k(\sin t, x) - \sin x \|_{2\pi} + \| T_k(\cos t, x) - \cos x \|_{2\pi} \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{'}}{R}\right\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_1 : = \left\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \| T_k(1,x) - 1 \|_{2\pi} \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{'}}{3R}\right\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 : = \left\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \| T_k(\sin t, x) - \sin x \|_{2\pi} \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{'}}{3R}\right\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_3 : = \left\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \| T_k(\cos t, x) - \cos x \|_{2\pi} \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{'}}{3R}\right\}.$$

Then, $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \cup \mathcal{A}_3$, so we have $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq d(\mathcal{A}_1) + d(\mathcal{A}_2) + d(\mathcal{A}_3)$. Thus, by conditions (3.2)-(3.4), we obtain

$$d(\widehat{F}) - \lim_{k\to\infty} \| L_k(f,x) - f(x) \|_{2\pi} = 0.$$

which completes the proof. □

We remark that our Theorem 3.3 is stronger than Theorem 3.2 as well as Theorem of Gadjiev and Orhan [21]. For this purpose, we get the following example:

Example 3.4. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $S_n(f)$ the $n-$ partial sum of the Fourier series of f, that is*

$$S_n(f,x) = \frac{1}{2}a_0(f) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k(f)\cos kx + b_k(f)\sin kx.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$F_n(f,x) = \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{k=0}^{n} S_k(f).$$

A standard calculation gives that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$F_n(f,x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)\varphi_n(x-t)dt,$$

where

$$\varphi_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin^2((n+1)(x-t)/2)}{(n+1)\sin^2((x-t)/2)} & \text{if } x \text{ is not a multiple of } 2\pi \ , \\ n+1 & \text{if } x \text{ is a multiple of } 2\pi \ . \end{cases}$$

The sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a positive kernel which is called the Fejér kernel, and corresponding F_n for $n \geq 1$ are called Fejér convolution operators.

We define the sequence of linear operators as $K_n : C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$ *with* $K_n(f,x) = (1+y_n)F_n(f,x)$, *where* $y = (y_n) = (f_{n+1}^2)$. *Then,* $K_n(1,x) = 1$, $K_n(\sin t, x) = \frac{n}{n+1}\sin x$ *and* $K_n(\cos t, x) = \frac{n}{n+1}\cos x$ *and sequence* (K_n) *satisfies the conditions (3.2)-(3.4). Therefore, we get*

$$d(\widehat{F}) - \lim_{k\to\infty} \parallel K_n(f,x) - f(x) \parallel_{2\pi} = 0.$$

On the other hand, one can see that (K_n) *does not satisfy Theorem 3.2 as well as Theorem of Gadjiev and Orhan* [21], *since* $\widehat{F}y = (1,0,0,\ldots)$, *the sequence* y *is* $\widehat{F}-$*statistical convergence to* 0. *But the sequence* y *neither convergent nor statistical convergent.*

3.2. **Rate of $\widehat{F}-$statistical convergence.** In this section, we estimate rate of $\widehat{F}-$statistical convergence of a sequence of positive linear operators defined $C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$ into $C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$. Now, we give following definition

Definition 3.5. *Let* (a_n) *be a positive non-increasing sequence. We say that the sequence* $x = (x_k)$ *is* $\widehat{F}-$*statistical convergence to* L *with the rate* $o(a_n)$ *if for every,* $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{u_n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : |\widehat{F}x - \ell| \geq \epsilon \right\} \right| = 0.$$

At this stage, we can write $x_k - L = d(\widehat{F}) - o(u_n)$.

As usual we have the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 3.6. *Let* (a_n) *and* (b_n) *be two positive non-increasing sequences. Let* $x = (x_k)$ *and* $y = (y_k)$ *be two sequences such that* $x_k - L_1 = d(\widehat{F}) - o(a_n)$ *and* $y_k - L_1 = d(\widehat{F}) - o(b_n)$. *Then we have*

(i) $\alpha(x_k - L_1) = d(\widehat{F}) - o(a_n)$ *for any scalar* α,

(ii) $(x_k - L_1) \pm (y_k - L_2) = d(\widehat{F}) - o(c_n)$,

(iii) $(x_k - L_1)(y_k - L_2) = d(\widehat{F}) - o(a_n b_n)$,

where $c_n = \max\{a_n, b_n\}$.

For $\delta > 0$, the modulus of continuity of f, $\omega(f,\delta)$ is defined by

$$\omega(f,\delta) = \sup_{|x-y|<\delta} |f(x) - f(y)|.$$

It is well-known that for a function $f \in C[a,b]$,

$$\lim_{n\to 0^+} \omega(f,\delta) = 0$$

for any $\delta > 0$

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \omega(f,\delta)\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\delta} + 1\right). \tag{3.10}$$

Theorem 3.7. *Let (L_k) be sequence of positive linear operator from $C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$ into $C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that*

$$(i) \qquad \| L_k(1,x) - x \|_{2\pi} = d(\widehat{F}) - o(u_n),$$

$$(ii) \qquad \omega(f,\theta_k) = d(\widehat{F}) - o(v_n) \text{ where } \theta_k = \sqrt{L_k\left[\sin^2\left(\frac{t-x}{2}\right), x\right]}.$$

Then for all $f \in C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$, we get

$$\| L_k(f,x) - f(x) \|_{2\pi} = d(\widehat{F}) - o(z_n)$$

where $z_n = \max\{u_n, v_n\}$.

Proof. Let $f \in C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$. From (3.8) and (3.10), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
|L_k(f,x) - f(x)| &\leq L_k(|f(t) - f(x)|; x) + |f(x)||L_k(1,x) - 1| \\
&\leq L_k\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\delta} + 1; x\right)\omega(f,\delta) + |f(x)||L_k(1,x) - 1| \\
&\leq L_k\left(\frac{\pi^2}{\delta^2}\sin^2\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right) + 1; x\right)\omega(f,\delta) + |f(x)||L_k(1,x) - 1| \\
&\leq \left\{L_k(1,x) + \frac{\pi^2}{\delta^2}L_k\left(\sin^2\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right); x\right)\right\}\omega(f,\delta) + |f(x)||L_k(1,x) - 1| \\
&= \left\{L_k(1,x) + \frac{\pi^2}{\delta^2}L_k\left(\sin^2\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right); x\right)\right\}\omega(f,\delta) + |f(x)||L_k(1,x) - 1|.
\end{aligned}$$

By choosing $\sqrt{\theta_k} = \delta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\| L_k(f,x) - f(x) \|_{2\pi} &\leq \| f \|_{2\pi} \| L_k(1,x) - x \|_{2\pi} + 2\omega(f,\theta_k) + \omega(f,\theta_k) \| L_k(1,x) - x \|_{2\pi} \\
&\leq K\{\| L_k(1,x) - x \|_{2\pi} + \omega(f,\theta_k) + \omega(f,\theta_k) \| L_k(1,x) - x \|_{2\pi}\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $K = \max\{2, \| f \|_{2\pi}\}$. By Definition 3.5 and conditions (i) and (ii), we get the desired the result. □

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there are no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

[1] H. Aktuğlu, *Korovkin type approximation theorems proved via $\alpha\beta-$statistical convergence*, J. Comput.Appl. Math., **259**, (2014), 174–181. 1.1, 1.3

[2] A. Alotaibi, M. Mursaleen, B. AS. Alamri, S.A. Mohiuddine *Compact operators on some Fibonacci difference sequence spaces*, J. Ineq. Appl. **2015:203**, (2015), doi:10.1186/s13660-015-0713-5 1.2

[3] M. Başarır, F. Başar, E.E. Kara, *On the spaces of Fibonacci difference null and convergent sequences*, arXiv:1309.0150, 2013. 1.2

[4] C. Belen, S.A.Mohiuddine, *Generalized weighted statistical convergence and application*, Appl. Math. Comput., **219(18)**, (2013), 9821–9826. 1.3

[5] V.K. Bhardwaj, S. Gupta, *On some generalizations of statistical boundedness*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, (2014), 2014:12 doi: 10.1186/1029-242X-2014-12 2, 2.9, 2, 2.10
[6] R.C. Buck, *Generalized asymptotic density*, Amer. J. Math., **75**, (1953), 335–346. 1.1
[7] M. Candan, *A new approach on the spaces of generalized Fibonacci difference null and convergent sequences*, Math. terna, **5(1)**, (2015), 191–210. 1.2
[8] M. Candan, E. E. Kara, *A study on topological and geometrical characteristics of new Banach sequence spaces*, Gulf J. Math, **3(4)**, (2015), 67–84. 1.2
[9] M. Candan, K. Kayaduman, *Almost convergent sequence space derived by generalized Fibonacci matrix and Fibonacci core*, British Journal of Mathematics Computer Science **7(2)**, (2015), 150–167. 1.2
[10] M. Candan, G. Kılınç, *A different look for paranormed Riesz sequence space of derived by Fibonacci Matrix*, Konuralp J. Math., **3(2)**, (2015), 62–76. 1.2
[11] S. Demiriz, E.E. Kara, M. Başarır *On the Fibonacci Almost Convergent Sequence Space and Fibonacci Core*, KYUNGPOOK Math. J., **55**, (2015), 355–372. 1.2
[12] O.H.H. Edely, S.A. Mohiuddine, A.K.Noman, *Korovkin type approximation theorems obtained through generalized statistical convergence*, Appl. Math. Lett., **23(11)**, (2010), 1382–1387. 1.3
[13] O.H.H. Edely, M.Mursaleen, A. Khan, *Approximation for periodic functions via weighted statistical convergence*, Appl. Math. Comput., **219(15)**, (2013), 8231–8236. 1.3
[14] R. Erdos, G. Tenenbaum, *Sur les densities de certaines suites d'entiers*, Proc. Lon. Math. Soc., **3(59)**, (1989), 417–438. 1.1
[15] H. Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math., **2**, (1951), 241–244. 1.1
[16] A.R. Freedman, J.J. Sember, *Densities and summability*, Pac. J. Math., **95(2)**, (1981), 293–305. 1.1, 1.1
[17] J.A.Fridy, *On statistical convergence*, Analysis, **5**, (1985), 301–313. 1.3, 1.1
[18] J.A. Fridy, C. Orhan, *Statistical limit superior and limit inferior*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **125(12)**, (1997), 3625–3631 2.8
[19] J.A. Fridy, K. Demirci, C. Orhan, *Multipliers and factorizations for bounded statistically convergent sequences*, Analysis **22**, (2002), 321–333. 2
[20] A.D. Gadziev, *The convergence problems for a sequence of positive linear operators on unbounded sets, and theorems analogous to that of P.P. Korovkin*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **15**, (1974), 1433–1436 3.1
[21] A.D. Gadjiev, C. Orhan, *Some approximation theorems via statistical convergence*, Rocky Mt. J. Math. **32**, (2002), 129–138. 1.3, 3.1, 3.4
[22] Goonatilake, Susantha , *Toward a Global Science*, Indiana University Press, (1998), p. 126 1.2
[23] E.E. Kara, M. Başarır, *An application of Fibonacci numbers into infinite Toeplitz matrices*, Caspian J. Math. Sci. **1(1)**, (2012), 43–47. 1.2, 2
[24] E. E. Kara, *Some topological and geometrical properties of new Banach sequence spaces* J. Ineq. Appl., 2013 (38) (2013), 15 pages, doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-38. 1.2, 2, 2, 2
[25] E.E. Kara, M. Başarır, M.Mursaleen *Compactness of matrix operators on some sequence spaces derived by Fibonacci numbers*, Kragujevac J. Math. **39(2)**, (2015), 217–230. 1.2
[26] E.E. Kara, S. Demiriz, *Some new paranormed difference sequence spaces derived by Fibonacci numbers*, Miskolc Math. Notes. **16(2)**, (2015), 907–923, doi:10.18514/MMN.2015.1227. 1.2
[27] E.E. Kara, M. Ilkhan, *Some properties of generalized Fibonacci sequence spaces*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **39(2)**, (2016), 217–230. 1.2

[28] V. Karakaya, A. Karaisa, *Korovkin type approximation theorems for weighted $\alpha\beta-$statistical convergence*, Bull. Math. Sci., **5**, (2015), 159–169, doi:10.1007/s13373-015-0065-y. 1.1, 1.3
[29] P.P. Korovkin, *Linear Operators and Approximation Theory*,Hindustan Publishing, New Delhi, India (1960). 1.3, 3.1
[30] T. Koshy, *Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers with Applications*, Wiley, (2001). 1.2
[31] I.J. Maddox, *Statistical convergence in a locally convex sequence space*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **104**, (1988), 141–145. 1.1
[32] H.I. Miller, *A measure theoretical subsequence characterization of statistical convergence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **347**, (1995), 1811–1819. 1.1
[33] S.A.Mohiuddine, *An application of almost convergence in approximation theorems*, Appl. Math. Lett., **24(11)**, (2011), 1856–1860. 1.3
[34] M. Mursaleen, A. Alotaibi, *Statistical summability and approximation by de la Valle-Poussin mean*, Appl. Math. Lett., **24(3)**, (2011), 320–324. 1.3
[35] M. Mursaleen, A. Alotaibi, *Statistical lacunary summability and a Korovkin type approximation theorem*, Ann. Univ. Ferrera, **57**, (2011), 373–381. 1.3
[36] M. Mursaleen, V. Karakaya, M. Ertürk, F. Gürsoy, *Weighted statistical convergence and its application to Korovkin type approximation theorem*, Appl. Math. Comput., **218(18)**, (2012), 9132–9137. 1.3
[37] T. Salat, *On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math. Slovaca, **30**, (1980), 139–150. 2, 2
[38] I.J. Schoenberg, *The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods*, Amer. Math. Monthly **66**, (1959), 361–375. 1.1
[39] E. Uçar, F. Basar, *Some geometric properties of the domain of the double band matrix defined by Fibonacci numbers in the sequence space ℓ_∞*, AIP Conference Proceedings, **1611**, (2014), 316–324, doi: 10.1063/1.4893854. 1.2
[40] E. Kreyszig: *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications*, Wiley, 1978. 2
[41] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Cambridge Univ. Press, U.K., (1979). 1.1

[Murat Kirişci]Department of Mathematical Education, Hasan Ali Yücel Education Faculty, Istanbul University, Vefa, 34470, Fatih, Istanbul, Turkey

E-mail address: mkirisci@hotmail.com, murat.kirisci@istanbul.edu.tr

[Ali Karaisa]Department of Mathematics-Computer Sciences, Necmettin Erbakan University, Meram Campus, 42090 Meram, Konya, Turkey

E-mail address: alikaraisa@hotmail.com; akaraisa@konya.edu.tr